arXiv:1701.03635v1 [math.AC] 13 Jan 2017

Nice derivations over principal ideal domains

Nikhilesh Dasgupta and Neena Gupta

Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute,

203 B.T. Road, Kolkata 700 108, India

e-mail: its.nikhilesh@gmail.com

e-mail : neenag@isical.ac.in, rnanina@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we investigate to what extent the results of Z. Wang and D. Daigle on "nice derivations" of the polynomial ring $k[X, Y, Z]$ over a field k of characteristic zero extend to the polynomial ring $R[X, Y, Z]$ over a PID R, containing the field of rational numbers. One of our results shows that the kernel of a nice derivation on $k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$ of rank at most three is a polynomial ring over k.

Keywords. Polynomial Rings, Locally Nilpotent Derivation, Nice Derivation.
2010 MSC. Primary: 13N15; Secondary: 14R20, 13A50.

1 Introduction

By a ring, we will mean a commutative ring with unity. Let R be a ring and $n(\geqslant 1)$ be an integer. For an R-algebra A, we use the notation $A = R^{[n]}$ to denote that A is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in n variables over R. We denote the group of units of R by R^*.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero, R a k-domain, $B := R^{[n]}$ and m is a positive integer $\leq n$. In this paper, we consider locally nilpotent derivations D on B, which satisfy $D^2(T_i) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ for some coordinate system $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)$ of B. For convenience, we shall call such a derivation D as a *quasi-nice derivation*. In the case $m = n$, such a D is called a *nice derivation* (Thus a nice derivation is also a quasi-nice derivation). We investigate the rank of D when $n = 3$ and R is a PID (see Section 2 for the definition of rank of D).

The case when $B = k^{[3]}$ was investigated by Z. Wang in [14]. He showed that *rank* D is less than 3 for the cases $(m, n) = (2, 3), (3, 3)$ and that *rank* $D = 1$ when D is a nice derivation (i.e., for $(m, n) = (3, 3)$). In [6], Daigle proved that the rank of D is less than 3 even in the case $(m, n) = (1, 3)$ ([6, Theorems 5.1 and 5.2]).

Now let R be a Noetherian domain containing $\mathbb{Q}$, say R is regular. It is natural to ask how far we can extend the above results to $R[X, Y, Z](= R^{[3]})$. In particular, we consider the following question for nice derivations.

Question 1. *If D is a nice derivation of $R[X, Y, Z]$, then is rank $D = 1$, or, at least, is rank $D < 3$?*

In Section 3, we show that when R is a PID, the rank of D is indeed less than 3 (Theorem 3.6) and construct a nice derivation D over $k^{[1]}$ with $rank\ D = 2$ (Example 3.5). Moreover, we construct a nice derivation D over $k^{[2]}$ with $rank\ D = 3$ (Example 3.10) showing that Theorem 3.6 does not extend to two-dimensional regular or factorial domains.

An important open problem in Affine Algebraic Geometry asks whether the kernel of any $D \in LND_k(k^{[4]})$ is necessarily finitely generated. In the case when $rank\ D \leq 3$, Bhatwadekar and Daigle had shown that the kernel is indeed finitely generated [3, Theorem 1]. However Daigle and Freudenburg had constructed an example to show that the kernel need not be $k^{[3]}$ [8]. Under the additional hypothesis that the kernel is regular, Bhatwadekar, Gupta and Lokhande showed that the kernel is indeed $k^{[3]}$ [5, Theorem 3.5]. A consequence of Theorem 3.6 of our paper is that in the case $rank\ D \leq 3$, the kernel of any nice derivation D is necessarily $k^{[3]}$ (Corollary 3.8).

The following question on quasi-nice derivations arises in view of Wang's result that $rank\ D$ is less than 3 for $(m, n) = (2, 3)$.

Question 2. *If D is a locally nilpotent derivation of $R[X, Y, Z]$, such that D is irreducible and $D^2X = D^2Y = 0$, is then $rank\ D < 3$?*

In Section 4, we investigate this question and obtain some partial results when R is a PID (Proposition 4.4) and a Dedekind domain (Proposition 4.6). Example 4.5 shows that Question 2 has a negative answer in general, even when R is a PID. We shall also construct a strictly 1-quasi derivation (defined in Section 4) on $R^{[3]}$ over a PID R (Example 4.9). By a result of Daigle (quoted in Section 4 as Theorem 4.8), there does not exist such a derivation on $k^{[3]}$, where k is a field of characteristic zero.

2 Preliminaries

For a ring A and a nonzerodivisor $f \in A$, we use the notation A_f to denote the localisation of A with respect to the multiplicatively closed set $\{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$.

Let $A \subseteq B$ be integral domains. Then the transcendence degree of the field of fractions of B over that of A is denoted by $\mathrm{tr.deg}_A B$.

A subring $A \subseteq B$ is defined to be *factorially closed* in B if, given nonzero $f, g \in B$, the condition $fg \in A$ implies $f \in A$ and $g \in A$. When the ambient ring B is understood, we will simply say that A is factorially closed. A routine verification shows that a factorially closed subring of a UFD is a UFD. If A is a factorially closed subring of B, then A is algebraically closed in B; further if S is a multiplicatively closed set in A then $S^{-1}A$ is a factorially closed subring of $S^{-1}B$.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero, R a k-domain, and B an R-domain. The set of locally nilpotent R-derivations of B is denoted by $LND_R(B)$. When R is understood from the context (e.g. when $R = k$), we simply denote it by $LND(B)$. We denote the kernel of a locally nilpotent derivation D by $Ker\ D$.

Let $D \in LND_R(B)$ and $A := Ker\ D$. It is well-known that A is a factorially closed subring of B [7, 1.1(1)]. For any multiplicatively closed subset S of $A \setminus \{0\}$, D extends to a locally nilpotent derivation of $S^{-1}B$ with kernel $S^{-1}A$ and $B \cap S^{-1}A = A$ [7, 1.1(2)]. Moreover if D is non-zero, then $\mathrm{tr.deg}_A B = 1$ [7, 1.1(4)]. A locally nilpotent derivation D is said to be *reducible* if there exists a non-unit $b \in B$ such that

$DB \subseteq (b)B$; otherwise D is said to be *irreducible*. An element $s \in B$ is called a *slice* if $Ds = 1$, and a *local slice* if $Ds \in A$ and $Ds \neq 0$. Moreover D is said to be *fixed-point free* if the B ideal $(DB) = B$.

When $B := R^{[n]}$ and $D \in LND_R(B)$, the *rank* of D, denoted by $rank\ D$, is defined to be the least integer i for which there exists a coordinate system $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ of B satisfying $R[X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_n] \subseteq A$.

Now let B be a k-domain and D an element of $LND(B)$ with a local slice $r \in B$. The Dixmier map induced by r is defined to be the k-algebra homomorphism $\pi_r : B \to B_{Dr}$, given by

$$\pi_r(f) = \sum_{i \geqslant 0} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} D^i f \frac{r^i}{(Dr)^i}.$$

The following important result is known as the Slice Theorem [10, Corollary 1.22].

Theorem 2.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero and B a k-domain. Suppose $D \in LND(B)$ admits a slice $s \in B$, and let $A = Ker\ D$. Then*

(a) *$B = A[s]$ and $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$.*

(b) *$A = \pi_s(B)$ and $Ker\ \pi_s = sB$.*

(c) *If B is affine, then A is affine.*

The following theorem of Daigle and Freudenburg characterizes locally nilpotent derivations of $R^{[2]}$, where R is a UFD containing $\mathbb{Q}$ [7, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a UFD containing $\mathbb{Q}$ with field of fractions K and let $B = R[X, Y] = R^{[2]}$. For an R-derivation $D \neq 0$ of B, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *D is locally nilpotent.*

(ii) *$D = \alpha(\frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial X}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y})$, for some $F \in B$ which is a variable of $K[X, Y]$ satisfying $gcd_B(\frac{\partial F}{\partial X}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}) = 1$, and for some $\alpha \in R[F] \setminus \{0\}$.*

Moreover, if the above conditions are satisfied, then $Ker\ D = R[F] = R^{[1]}$.

With the same notation as above, the following lemma gives interesting results when D satisfies some additional hypothesis [14, Lemma 4.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a UFD containing $\mathbb{Q}$, $B = R[X, Y] (= R^{[2]})$ and $D \in LND_R(B)$ such that D is irreducible. Then the following hold:*

(i) *If $D^2X = 0$, then $Ker\ D = R[bY + f(X)]$, where $b \in R$ and $f(X) \in R[X]$. Moreover, $DX \in R$ and $DY \in R[X]$.*

(ii) *If $D^2X = D^2Y = 0$, then $D = b\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - a\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$ for some $a, b \in R$. Moreover, $Ker\ D = R[aX + bY]$.*

(iii) *If R is a PID and $D^2X = D^2Y = 0$, then D has a slice.*

Over a Noetherian domain containing $\mathbb{Q}$, a necessary and sufficient condition for the kernel of a nonzero irreducible $D \in LND_R(R[X, Y])$ to be a polynomial ring is given by [4, Theorem 4.7].

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a Noetherian domain containing $\mathbb{Q}$ and let D be a non-zero irreducible locally nilpotent derivation of the polynomial ring $R[X,Y]$. Then the kernel A of D is a polynomial ring in one variable over R if and only if DX and DY either form a regular $R[X,Y]$-sequence or are comaximal in $R[X,Y]$. Moreover if DX and DY are comaximal in $R[X,Y]$, then $R[X,Y]$ is a polynomial ring in one variable over A.*

An important result on fixed-point free locally nilpotent derivations is the following [10, Theorem 4.16].

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, and let $B = R[X,Y] = R^{[2]}$. Given $D \in LND_R(R[X,Y])$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *D is fixed-point free, i.e., $(DB) = B$, where (DB) is the B-ideal generated by DB.*

(2) *There exists $s \in B$ with $Ds = 1$.*

In addition, when these conditions hold, $Ker\ D = R^{[1]}$.

For a ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$, the following cancellation theorem was proved by Hamann [11, Theorem 2.8].

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ and A be an R-algebra such that $A^{[1]} = R^{[2]}$. Then $A = R^{[1]}$.*

The following is a well-known result of Abhyankar, Eakin and Heinzer [1, Proposition 4.8].

Theorem 2.7. *Let C be a UFD and let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be indeterminates over C. Suppose that A is an integral domain of transcendence degree one over C and that $C \subseteq A \subseteq C[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. If A is a factorially closed subring of $C[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, then $A = C^{[1]}$.*

The following local-global theorem was proved by Bass, Connell and Wright [2] and independently by Suslin [13].

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a ring and A a finitely presented R-algebra. Suppose that for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ of R, the $R_\mathfrak{m}$-algebra $A_\mathfrak{m}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra of some $R_\mathfrak{m}$-module. Then $A \cong Sym_R(L)$ for some finitely presented R-module L.*

The following result is known as Serre's Splitting Theorem [12, Theorem 7.1.8].

Theorem 2.9. *Let A be a Noetherian ring of finite Krull dimension. Let P be a finitely generated projective A-module of rank greater than dimension of A. Then P has a unimodular element.*

Following is the famous Cancellation Theorem of Hyman Bass [12, Theorem 7.1.11].

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be a Noetherian ring of dimension d and P a finitely generated projective R-module of rank $> d$. Then P is "cancellative", i.e., $P \oplus Q \cong P' \oplus Q$ for some finitely generated projective R-module Q implies that $P \cong P'$.*

We now state a local-global result for a graded ring [12, Theorem 4.3.11].

Theorem 2.11. *Let $S = S_0 \oplus S_1 \oplus S_2 \dots$ be a graded ring and let M be a finitely presented S-module. Assume that for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of S_0, $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is extended from $(S_0)_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then M is extended from S_0.*

For convenience, we state below an elementary result.

Lemma 2.12. *Let A and B be integral domains with $A \subseteq B$. If there exists f in A, such that $A_f = B_f$ and $fB \cap A = fA$, then $A = B$.*

Proof. Let $b \in B$. Suppose, if possible $b \notin A$. Now since $B_f = A_f$, we have $b \in A_f$. Hence there exist $a \in A$ and an integer $n > 0$ such that $b = a/f^n$. We may assume that n is the least possible. But then $a \in fB \cap A = fA$. Let $a = fa_1$ for some $a_1 \in A$. Then $b = a_1/f^{n-1}$, contradicting the minimality of n. □

3 Nice Derivations

In this section, we shall explore generalisations of the following theorem of Z. Wang [14, Proposition 4.6].

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and $K[X, Y, Z] = K^{[3]}$. Suppose that $D(\neq 0) \in LND_K(K[X, Y, Z])$ satisfies $D^2X = D^2Y = D^2Z = 0$. Then the following hold:*

(i) *$Ker\ D$ contains a nonzero linear form of $\{X, Y, Z\}$.*

(ii) *$rank\ D = 1$.*

(iii) *If D is irreducible, then for some coordinate system (X', Y', Z') of $K[X, Y, Z]$ related to (X, Y, Z) by a linear change,*

$$D = f(X')\frac{\partial}{\partial Y'} + g(X')\frac{\partial}{\partial Z'}$$

where f, $g \in K[X']$ and $\gcd_{K[X']}(f, g) = 1$.

We first observe the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a UFD containing $\mathbb{Q}$ and $D(\neq 0) \in LND_R(R[X, Y, Z])$, where $R[X, Y, Z] = R^{[3]}$ and $rank\ D < 3$. Then $Ker\ D = R^{[2]}$.*

Proof. Let $A := Ker\ D$. Since $rank\ D < 3$, there exists $X' \in R[X, Y, Z]$ such that $R[X, Y, Z] = R[X']^{[2]}$ and $X' \in A$. Then taking $C = R[X']$, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that $A(= Ker\ D) = R[X']^{[1]} = R^{[2]}$. □

The following example shows that Lemma 3.2 does not extend to a Noetherian normal domain R which is not a UFD.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathbb{R}[a, b] = \mathbb{R}^{[2]}$ and $R := \frac{\mathbb{R}[a,b]}{(a^2+b^2-1)}$. Let $B := R[X, Y, Z] = R^{[3]}$ and D be an R-linear LND of B, such that

$$DX = a, \quad DY = b - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad DZ = aY + (1 - b)X.$$

Setting $u = aY + (1-b)X$, $v = (1+b)Y + aX$ and $w = 2Z + uY - vX$, we see that $Du = Dv = Dw = 0$ and $D^2X = D^2Y = D^2Z = 0$.

Let $A := Ker\ D$. Now $B_{(1+b)} = R_{(1+b)}[v, w, X]$ and $B_{(1-b)} = R_{(1-b)}[u, w, Y]$. Thus it follows that $A_{(1+b)} = R_{(1+b)}[v, w] = {R_{(1+b)}}^{[2]}$ and $A_{(1-b)} = R_{(1-b)}[u, w] = {R_{(1-b)}}^{[2]}$. Since $(1+b)$ and $(1-b)$ are comaximal elements of R, $A = R[u, v, w]$ and $A_m = {R_m}^{[2]}$ for every maximal ideal m of R.

Now $B = R[X, Y, Z] = R[X, Y, w]$ and $w \in A$; so $rank\ D < 3$. Setting $T = \frac{u}{a}$, we see that $A = R[aT, (1+b)T, w]$. By Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, $A = Sym_R(F \oplus P)$, where F is a free R-module of rank 1 and P is a rank 1 projective R-module given by the ideal $(a, 1+b)R$, which is not principal. Hence P is not stably free and so $A \neq R^{[2]}$ [9, Lemma 1.3].

Remark 3.4. In Proposition 3.9, we will see that over any Dedekind domain R, the kernel of a nice derivation of $R^{[3]}$ is generated by (at most) three elements.

The following example shows that Part (ii) of Theorem 3.1 does not hold when K is replaced by a PID R.

Example 3.5. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, $R = k[t] = k^{[1]}$ and $B := R[X, Y, Z] (= R^{[3]})$. Let $D \in LND_R(B)$ be such that

$$DX = 0, \quad DY = X - t \quad \text{and} \quad DZ = X + t.$$

Let $A = Ker\ D$ and $G := (X-t)Z - (X+t)Y$. We will show that

(i) $A = R[X, G]$.

(ii) $B \neq A^{[1]}$; in fact, B is not A-flat.

(iii) $rank\ D = 2$.

Proof. (i) Let $C := R[X, G]$. We show that $C = A$. Clearly $C \subseteq A$. Set $f := X - t$. Then $B_f = R[X, G, Y]_f = {C_f}^{[1]}$. Hence, as both $C_f (\subseteq A_f)$ and A_f are factorially closed subrings of B_f and as $\text{tr.deg}_{C_f} B_f = 1 = \text{tr.deg}_A B$, we have $C_f = A_f$.

Now B/fB may be identified with $R[Y, Z] (= R^{[2]})$. Clearly $C/fC = R^{[1]}$ and the image of C/fC in B/fB is $R[tY] (= R^{[1]})$. Thus the natural map $C/fC \to B/fB$ is injective, i.e, $fB \cap C = fC$. Since A is factorially closed in B, we also have $fB \cap A = fA$ and hence $fA \cap C = fB \cap A \cap C = fB \cap C = fC$. Therefore as $C_f = A_f$, we have $C = A$ by Lemma 2.12.

(ii) $(X-t, X+t)B$ is a prime ideal of height 2 in B and $(X-t, X+t)B \cap A = (X, t, G)A$ is a prime ideal of height 3 in A, violating the going-down principle. Hence B is not A-flat and therefore $B \neq A^{[1]}$.

(iii) Since $DX = 0$, $rank\ D < 3$. If $rank\ D = 1$, then clearly $B = A^{[1]}$ contradicting (ii). Hence $rank\ D = 2$. □

We now prove an extension of Theorem 3.1 over a PID.

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be a PID containing $\mathbb{Q}$ with field of fractions L and $B := R[X, Y, Z] = R^{[3]}$. Let $D (\neq 0) \in LND_R(B)$, and $A := Ker\ D$. Suppose that D is irreducible and $D^2X = D^2Y = D^2Z = 0$. Then there exists a coordinate system (U, V, W) of B related to (X, Y, Z) by a linear change such that the following hold:*

(i) *A contains a nonzero linear form of $\{X, Y, Z\}$.*

(ii) *$rank\ D \leq 2$. In particular, $A = R^{[2]}$.*

(iii) *$A = R[U, gV - fW]$, where $DV = f$, $DW = g$, and $f, g \in R[U]$ such that $gcd_{R[U]}(f, g) = 1$.*

(iv) *Either f and g are comaximal in B or they form a regular sequence in B. Moreover if they are comaximal, (i.e., D is fixed-point free) then $B = A^{[1]}$ and $rank\ D = 1$; and if they form a regular sequence, then B is not A-flat and $rank\ D = 2$.*

Proof. (i) D extends to an LND of $L[X, Y, Z]$ which we denote by $\overline{D}$. By Theorem 3.1 there exists a coordinate system (U, V', W') of $L[X, Y, Z]$ related to (X, Y, Z) by a linear change and mutually coprime polynomials $p(U)$, $q(U)$ in $L[U]$ for which

$$\overline{D} = p(U)\frac{\partial}{\partial V'} + q(U)\frac{\partial}{\partial W'}.$$

Multiplying by a suitable nonzero element of R, we can assume $U \in R[X, Y, Z]$. Clearly $A = Ker\ \overline{D} \cap R[X, Y, Z]$ and $U \in A$. Moreover without loss of generality we can assume that there exist $l, m, n \in R$ with $gcd_R(l, m, n) = 1$ such that $U = lX + mY + nZ$. As R is a PID, (l, m, n) is a unimodular row of R^3 and hence can be completed to an invertible matrix $M \in GL_3(R)$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \\ W \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}$.
Then $R[U, V, W] = R[X, Y, Z]$ and as $U \in A$, A contains a nonzero linear form in X, Y, Z.

(ii) Follows from (i) and Lemma 3.2.

(iii) $R[U]$ is a UFD and $B = R[U, V, W] = R[U]^{[2]}$. So D is a locally nilpotent $R[U]$-derivation of B. Now the proof follows from Part (ii) of Lemma 2.3.

(iv) Since $B = R[U, V, W] = R[U]^{[2]}$, the first part follows from Theorem 2.4. Moreover when f and g are comaximal in B, it also follows from Theorem 2.4 that $B = A^{[1]}$. Hence in this case $rank\ D = 1$.

If f and g form a regular sequence in B (and hence in A since A is factorially closed in B), $(f, g)B \cap A = (f, g, gV - fW)A$. But $(f, g, gV - fW)A$ is an ideal of height 3, while $(f, g)B$ is an ideal of height 2, violating the going-down principle. It follows that in this case B is not A-flat. In this case indeed $rank\ D = 2$, or else if $rank\ D = 1$, we would have $B = A^{[1]}$. □

The proof of Theorem 3.6 shows the following:

Corollary 3.7. *With the notation as above, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *$B = A^{[1]}$.*

(ii) *$rank\ D = 1$.*

(iii) *B is A-flat.*

Proof. (i)⇔(ii) and (ii)⇒(iii) are trivial. (iii)⇒(i) follows from Theorem 3.6(iv). □

As mentioned in the Introduction, Theorem 3.6 shows that the kernel of an irreducible nice derivation of $k^{[4]}$ of $rank \leq 3$ is $k^{[3]}$. More precisely, we have:

Corollary 3.8. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let $K[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] = K^{[4]}$. Let $D \in LND_K(K[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4])$, be such that D is irreducible and $DX_1 = 0$ and $D^2X_i = 0$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$. Then $Ker\ D = K^{[3]}$.*

By a result of Bhatwadekar and Daigle [3, Proposition 4.13], we know that over a Dedekind domain R containing $\mathbb{Q}$, the kernel of any locally nilpotent R-derivation of $R^{[3]}$ is necessarily finitely generated. We now show that if D is a nice derivation, then the kernel is generated by at most three elements.

Proposition 3.9. *Let R be a Dedekind domain containing $\mathbb{Q}$ and $B := R[X, Y, Z] = R^{[3]}$. Let $D \in LND_R(B)$ such that D is irreducible and $D^2X = D^2Y = D^2Z = 0$. Let $A := Ker\ D$. Then the following hold:*

(i) *A is generated by at most 3 elements.*

(ii) *Moreover, if D is fixed-point free, then rank $D < 3$ and D has a slice. In particular, rank $D = 1$.*

Proof. (i) By Theorem 3.6, $A_p = {R_p}^{[2]}$ for all $p \in Spec(R)$. Hence by Theorem 2.8, $A \cong Sym_R(Q)$ for some rank 2 projective R-module Q. Since R is a Dedekind domain by Theorem 2.9, $Q \cong Q_1 \oplus M$ where Q_1 is a rank 1 projective R-module and M is a free R-module of rank 1. Again since R is a Dedekind domain Q_1 is generated by at most 2 elements. Hence A is generated by at most 3 elements.

(ii) Now assume D is fixed-point free. Let $DX = f_1$, $DY = f_2$ and $DZ = f_3$. Then, by Theorem 2.1, $B_{f_i} = {A_{f_i}}^{[1]}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since $(f_1, f_2, f_3)B = B$ we have $B_{\tilde{p}} = {A_{\tilde{p}}}^{[1]}$, for each $\tilde{p} \in Spec(A)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.8, $B = Sym_A(P)$, where P is a projective A-module of rank 1. Now for each $p \in Spec(R)$, P_p is an A_p-module and as $A_p = {R_p}^{[2]}$, we have P_p is a free A_p-module since R_p is a discrete valuation ring and hence extended from R_p. Therefore, by Theorem 2.11, P is extended from R. Let $P = P_1 \otimes_R A$, where P_1 is a projective R-module of rank 1. Hence $B = Sym_A(P) = Sym_R(M \oplus Q_1 \oplus P_1)$, where M is a free R-module of rank 1. Since $B = R^{[3]}$, $M \oplus Q_1 \oplus P_1$ is a free R-module of rank 3 [9, Lemma 1.3]. By Theorem 2.10, $Q_1 \oplus P_1$ is free of rank 2. Let $M = Rf$ and set $S := R[f]$. Then $B = {R[f]}^{[2]}$ and as $f \in A$, we have $rank\ D < 3$. Now $B = S^{[2]}$ and $D \in LND_S(B)$ such that D is fixed-point free. Hence, by Theorem 2.5, D has a slice.

Let $B = R[f, g, h] (= R^{[3]})$ and $s \in B$ be such that $Ds = 1$. Then by Theorem 2.1, $B (= S^{[2]}) = A[s] (= A^{[1]})$. Hence by Theorem 2.6, $A = S^{[1]}$. Let $A = R[f, t]$. Then $B = R[f, g, h] = R[f, t, s]$ and $f, t \in A$. So $rank\ D = 1$. □

The following example shows that Theorem 3.6 does not extend to a higher-dimensional regular UFD, not even to $k^{[2]}$.

Example 3.10. Let k be a field of characteristic zero and $R = k[a, b] = k^{[2]}$. Let $B = R[X, Y, Z] (= R^{[3]})$ and $D (\neq 0) \in LND_R(B)$ be such that

$$DX = b, \quad DY = -a \quad \text{and} \quad DZ = aX + bY.$$

Let $u = aX + bY$, $v = bZ - uX$, and $w = aZ + uY$. Then $Du = Dv = Dw = 0$, D is irreducible and $D^2X = D^2Y = D^2Z = 0$. Let $A = Ker\ D$. We show that

(i) $A = R[u, v, w]$.

(ii) $A = R[U,V,W]/(bW - aV - U^2)$, where $R[U,V,W] = R^{[3]}$ and hence $A \neq R^{[2]}$.

(iii) $rank\ D = 3$.

Proof. (i) Let $C := R[u,v,w]$. We show that $C = A$. Clearly $C \subseteq A$. Note that, $B_a = C_a{}^{[1]}$, so C_a is algebraically closed in B_a. But A is algebraically closed in B. So $A_a = C_a$. Similarly $A_b = C_b$. Since a, b is a regular sequence in C, $C_a \cap C_b = C$. Therefore $A \subseteq A_a \cap A_b = C_a \cap C_b = C$.

(ii) Let $\phi : R[U,V,W](= R^{[3]}) \twoheadrightarrow A$ be the R-algebra epimorphism such that $\phi(U) = u$, $\phi(V) = v$ and $\phi(W) = w$. Then $(bW - aV - U^2) \subseteq Ker\ \phi$ and $bW - aV - U^2$ is an irreducible polynomial of the UFD $R[U,V,W]$. Now $\text{tr. deg}_R\,(R[U,V,W]/(bW - aV - U^2)) = 2 = \text{tr. deg}_R\, A$. Hence $A \cong R[U,V,W]/(bW - aV - U^2)$. Let $F = bW - aV - U^2$. Now $(\frac{\partial F}{\partial U}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial V}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial W}, F)R[U,V,W] \neq R[U,V,W]$. So A is not a regular ring, in particular, $A \neq R^{[2]}$.

(iii) $rank\ D = 3$ by Lemma 3.2. □

4 Quasi-nice Derivations

In this section we discuss quasi-nice derivations. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, R a k-domain, $B := R^{[n]}$ and m be a positive integer $\leq n$. We shall call a quasi-nice R-derivation of B to be *m-quasi* if, for some coordinate system $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)$ of B, $D^2(T_i) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Thus for any two positive integers r and m such that $1 \leq m < r \leq n$, it is easy to see that an r-quasi derivation is also an m-quasi derivation. We shall call an m-quasi derivation to be *strictly m-quasi* if it is not r-quasi for any positive intger $r > m$.

Over a field K, Z. Wang [14, Theorem 4.7 and Remark 5] has proved the following result for 2-quasi derivations.

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and $K[X,Y,Z] = K^{[3]}$. Let $D(\neq 0) \in LND_K(K[X,Y,Z])$ be such that D is irreducible and $D^2X = D^2Y = 0$. Then one of the following holds:*

(I) *There exists a coordinate system (L_1, L_2, Z) of $K[X,Y,Z]$, where L_1 and L_2 are linear forms in X and Y such that*

(i) $DL_1 = 0$.

(ii) $DL_2 \in K[L_1]$.

(iii) $DZ \in K[L_1, L_2] = K[X,Y]$.

In this case, rank D can be either 1 or 2.

(II) *There exists a coordinate system (V, X, Y) of $K[X,Y,Z]$, such that $DV = 0$ and $DX, DY \in K[V]$. In particular, rank $D = 1$.*

Conversely if $D \in Der_K(K[X,Y,Z])$ satisfies (I) or (II), then $D \in LND_K(K[X,Y,Z])$ and $D^2X = D^2Y = 0$.

The following two examples illustrate the cases $rank\ D = 1$ and $rank\ D = 2$ for Part (I) of Theorem 4.1.

Example 4.2. Let $D \in LND_K(K[X,Y,Z])$ be such that $DX = DY = 0$ and $DZ = 1$. Then $rank\ D = 1$.

Example 4.3. Let $D \in LND_K(K[X,Y,Z])$ such that

$$DX = 0, DY = X, DZ = Y.$$

Setting $R = K[X]$, we see that $D \in LND_R(R[Y,Z])$ and D is irreducible. By Theorem 2.2, $D = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}$ for some $F \in R[Y,Z] = K[X,Y,Z]$ such that $K(X)[Y,Z] = K(X)[F]^{[1]}$, $gcd_{R[Y,Z]}(\frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z}) = 1$. Moreover $Ker\ D = R[F] = R^{[1]}$. Setting $F = XZ - \frac{Y^2}{2}$ we see $\frac{\partial F}{\partial Y} = -Y = -DZ$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial Z} = X = DY$.

Therefore $Ker\ D = K[X,F]$. But F is not a coordinate in $K[X,Y,Z]$ since $(\frac{\partial F}{\partial X}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z})K[X,Y,Z] \neq K[X,Y,Z]$. So $rank\ D = 2$.

We now address Question 2 of the Introduction, which gives a partial generalisation of Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 4.4. *Let R be a PID containing $\mathbb{Q}$ with field of fractions K. Let $D \in LND_R(R[X,Y,Z])$, where $R[X,Y,Z] = R^{[3]}$ such that D is irreducible and $D^2X = D^2Y = 0$. Let $\overline{D} \in LND(K[X,Y,Z])$ denote the extension of D to $K[X,Y,Z]$. Let $A := Ker\ D$. Suppose $\overline{D}$ satisfies condition (I) of Theorem 4.1. Then the following hold:*

(i) *$rank\ D < 3$.*

(ii) *There exists a coordinate system (L_1, L_2, Z) of B, such that L_1, L_2 are linear forms in X and Y, $DL_1 = 0$, $DL_2 \in R[L_1]$ and $DZ \in R[L_1, L_2] = R[X,Y]$. Moreover, $A = R[L_1, bZ + f(L_2)]$, where $b \in R[L_1]$ and $f(L_2) \in R[L_1, L_2]$.*

Proof. (i) Let $(\overline{L_1}, \overline{L_2}, Z)$ be the coordinate system of $K[X,Y,Z]$ such that $\overline{D}$ satisfies condition (I) of Theorem 4.1. Multiplying by a suitable nonzero constant from R, we can assume $\overline{L_1} \in R[X,Y]$. Let $\overline{L_1} = aX + bY$ where $a, b \in R$. Without loss of generality we can assume $gcd_R(a,b) = 1$. Since R is a PID, $(a,b,0)$ is a unimodular row in R^3 and hence can be completed to an invertible matrix (say N) in $GL_3(R)$. Thus $\overline{L_1}$ is a coordinate in $R[X,Y,Z]$. As $\overline{L_1} \in KerD = Ker\overline{D} \cap R[X,Y,Z]$, $rank\ D$ is at most 2 and hence $rank\ D \leq 2 < 3$.

(ii) Now set $L_1 = \overline{L_1}$. Since $gcd_R(a,b) = 1$, there exist $c, d \in R$ such that $ad - bc = 1$. Hence we can choose N as $\begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $N \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \\ Z \end{pmatrix}$.
Now the proof follows from Part (i) of Lemma 2.3. □

With the notation as above, if $\overline{D}$ satisfies condition (II) of Theorem 4.1, $rank\ D$ need not be 1. The following example shows that $rank\ D$ can even be 3.

Example 4.5. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, $R = k[t](= k^{[1]})$ with field of fractions L and $B := R[X,Y,Z](= R^{[3]})$. Let $D \in LND_R(B)$ be defined by

$$DX = t, \quad DY = tZ + X^2 \quad \text{and} \quad DZ = -2X.$$

Then D is irreducible and $D^2X = D^2Y = 0$. Let $\overline{D}$ denote the extension of D to $L[X,Y,Z]$. Let $F = -GX + tY$ where $G = tZ + X^2$. Then $F^2 - G^3 = tH$, where $H = tY^2 - 2tX^2Z^2 - 2tXYZ - 2X^3Y - X^4Z - t^2Z^3 \in R[X,Y,Z]$. Set $C := R[F,G,H]$. We show that

(i) $\overline{D}$ satisfies condition (II) of Theorem 4.1.

(ii) Then $C \cong R[U,V,W]/(U^2 - V^3 - tW)$, where $R[U,V,W] = R^{[3]}$ and hence $C \neq R^{[2]}$.

(iii) $Ker\ D = C$.

(iv) $rank\ D = 3$.

Proof. (i) $L[X,Y,Z] = L[X,Y,G]$, $DG = \overline{D}G = 0$ and $\overline{D}X, \overline{D}Y \in L[G]$. By Theorem 2.2, $Ker\ \overline{D} = L[F,G] (= L^{[2]})$.

(ii) Consider the R-algebra epimorphism $\phi : R[U,V,W] \twoheadrightarrow R[F,G,H] (= C)$, given by $\phi(U) = F$, $\phi(V) = G$ and $\phi(W) = H$. Clearly $(U^2 - V^3 - tW) \subseteq Ker\ \phi$. Since $U^2 - V^3 - tW$ is an irreducible polynomial in $R[U,V,W] = k[t,U,V,W] = k^{[4]}$, and $\text{tr. deg}_k\, R[U,V,W]/(U^2 - V^3 - tW) = 3$ we have $Ker\ \phi = (U^2 - V^3 - tW)$ and hence $C \cong R[U,V,W]/(U^2 - V^3 - tW)$.

Set $f := U^2 - V^3 - tW$. Then C is not regular, since $(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial U}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial V}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial W}, f) \subseteq (t,U,V,W)k[t,U,V,W]$. Thus C is not regular; in particular, $C \neq R^{[2]}$.

(iii) Let $A := Ker\ D$. Then $A = L[F,G] \cap R[X,Y,Z]$. We note that since $Ker\ \overline{D}$ is factorially closed, $H \in Ker\ \overline{D}$ and hence $H \in A$.

$C_t = R_t[F,G,H] = R_t[F,G]$. Also $R_t[X,Y,Z] = R_t[X,Y,G] = R_t[X,F,G]$. D extends to a locally nilpotent R_t-derivation (say $\tilde{D}$) of $R_t[X,Y,Z]$ and $\tilde{D}X \in {R_t}^*$. So by Theorem 2.1, $C_t = A_t$.

Clearly $C \subseteq A$. By Lemma 2.12, it is enough to show that the map $C/tC \to A/tA$ is injective. Since A is factorially closed in B, there exists an inclusion $A/tA \hookrightarrow B/tB$. So we will be done if we show the composite map $\psi : C/tC \to B/tB$ is injective. For $g \in B$, let $\overline{g}$ denote the image of g in B/tB. In $\psi(C/tC)$, $\overline{G} = \overline{X}^2$, $\overline{F} = -\overline{X}^3$ and $\overline{H} = -2\overline{X}^3\overline{Y} - \overline{X}^4\overline{Z}$. Since $\overline{X}$ and $\overline{Z}$ are algebraically independent over k, $\text{tr. deg}_k\, \psi(C/tC) = 2$. From (ii) it follows that C/tC is an integral domain and $\text{tr. deg}_k\, C/tC = \text{tr. deg}_k\, \psi(C/tC) = 2$. Hence ψ is injective. So $C/tC \hookrightarrow A/tA$ and hence $C = A$ as desired.

(iv) By Lemma 3.2, $rank\ D = 3$. □

Over a Dedekind domain R, we have the following generalisation of Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 4.6. *Let R be a Dedekind domain containing $\mathbb{Q}$ with field of fractions K, and $B := R[X,Y,Z] (= R^{[3]})$. Let $D \in LND_R(B)$ be irreducible and $D^2X = D^2Y = 0$ and $\overline{D}$ denote the extension of D to $K[X,Y,Z]$. Let $A := Ker\ D$. If $\overline{D}$ satisfies condition (I) of Theorem 4.1, then the following hold:*

(i) *A is generated by at most 3 elements.*

(ii) *Moreover, if D is fixed-point free, then $rank\ D < 3$ and D has a slice. In particular, $rank\ D = 1$.*

Proof. (i) By Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 3.2, ${A_p} = {R_p}^{[2]}$ for all $p \in Spec(R)$. Now the proof follows from the proof of Part (i) of Proposition 3.9.

(ii) For each $p \in Spec(R)$, let D_p denote the extension of D to B_p. Then by Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 2.5, D_p has a slice. Thus ${B_p} = {A_p}^{[1]}$ for all $p \in Spec(R)$. Now the proof follows from the proof of Part (ii) of Proposition 3.9. □

Remark 4.7. Example 3.10 shows that Proposition 4.4 does not extend to a higher-dimensional UFD, not even to $k^{[2]}$, where k is a field of characteristic zero. In fact, in that example, taking $L_1 = u$ and $L_2 = cX + dY$ for some $c, d \in k[a, b]$ such that $ad - bc \neq 0$, we find that $\overline{D}$ satisfies condition (I) of Theorem 4.1 and considering the coordinate system (u, X, Z), of $K[X, Y, Z]$ where K is the field of fractions of $k[a, b]$, we also see that $\overline{D}$ satisfies condition (II).

The following theorem of Daigle shows that over a field k of characteristic zero, there does not exist any strictly 1-quasi derivation of $k^{[3]}$ [6, Theorem 5.1].

Theorem 4.8. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero , $B = k^{[3]}$ and $D : B \to B$ be an irreducible locally nilpotent derivation. We assume that some variable Y of B satisfies $DY \neq 0$ and $D^2Y = 0$. Then there exist X, Z such that*

$$B = k[X, Y, Z], \quad DX = 0, \quad DY \in k[X] \quad \textit{and} \quad DZ \in k[X, Y].$$

We now present an example of a strictly 1-quasi derivation of $R^{[3]}$ over a PID R containing $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus Theorem 4.8 does not extend to a PID.

Example 4.9. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, $R = k[t](= k^{[1]})$ and $B = R[X, Y, Z](= R^{[3]})$. Let $D \in LND_R(B)$ be such that

$$DX = t, \quad DY = X \quad \text{and} \quad DZ = Y.$$

Then D is irreducible and $D^2X = 0$. Let $F := 2tY - X^2$, $G = 3t^2Z - 3tXY + X^3$ and $H = 8tY^3 + 9t^2Z^2 - 18tXYZ - 3X^2Y^2 + 6X^3Z$. Then $F^3 + G^2 = t^2H$. Set $C := R[F, G, H]$. We now show the following:

(i) Then $C \cong R[U, V, W]/(U^3 + V^2 - t^2W)$, where $R[U, V, W] = R^{[3]}$.

(ii) $Ker\ D = C$.

(iii) There does not exist any coordinate system (U_1, U_2, U_3) of B, such that $D^2(U_1) = D^2(U_2) = 0$.

Proof. (i) Consider the R-algebra epimorphism $\phi : R[U, V, W] \twoheadrightarrow R[F, G, H](= C)$, given by $\phi(U) = F$, $\phi(V) = G$ and $\phi(W) = H$. Clearly $(U^3 + V^2 - t^2W) \subseteq Ker\ \phi$. Since $U^3 + V^2 - t^2W$ is an irreducible polynomial in $R[U, V, W] = k[t, U, V, W] = k^{[4]}$, and $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k R[U, V, W]/(U^3 + V^2 - t^2W) = 3$ we have $Ker\ \phi = (U^3 + V^2 - t^2W)$ and hence $C \cong R[U, V, W]/(U^3 + V^2 - t^2W)$.

(ii) Let $A := Ker\ D$. Since A is factorially closed in B, $H \in A$. $C_t = R_t[F, G, H] = R_t[F, G]$. Also $R_t[X, Y, Z] = R_t[X, F, G]$. D extends to a locally nilpotent R_t-derivation (say $\tilde{D}$) of $R_t[X, Y, Z]$ and $\tilde{D}X \in {R_t}^*$. So by Theorem 2.1, $C_t = A_t$.

Clearly $C \subseteq A$. By Lemma 2.12, it is enough to show that the map $C/tC \to A/tA$ is injective. Since A is factorially closed in B, there exists an inclusion $A/tA \hookrightarrow B/tB$. So we will be done if we show the composite map $\psi : C/tC \to B/tB$ is injective. For $g \in B$, let $\overline{g}$ denote the image of g in B/tB. In $\psi(C/tC)$, $\overline{F} = -\overline{X}^2$, $\overline{G} = \overline{X}^3$ and $\overline{H} = -3\overline{X^2Y^2} + 6\overline{X^3Z}$. Since $\overline{X}$, $\overline{Y}$ and $\overline{Z}$ are algebraically independent over k, $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k \psi(C/tC) = 2$. From (ii) it follows that C/tC is an integral domain and

$\text{tr.deg}_k\, C/tC = \text{tr.deg}_k\, \psi(C/tC) = 2$. Hence ψ is injective. So $C/tC \hookrightarrow A/tA$ and hence $C = A$ as desired.

(iii) For $f \in B$, let $\alpha :=$ coefficient of X in f, $\beta :=$ coefficient of Y in f and $deg\ f :=$ total degree of f. Then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(0,0,0) = \alpha$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(0,0,0) = \beta$. If $f \in A$, let $f = p(F,G,H)$ for some $p \in R^{[3]}$. Then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial F}\frac{\partial F}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial G}\frac{\partial G}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial H}\frac{\partial H}{\partial X}$. We also have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial X} = -2X, \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial X} = -3tY + 3X^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial X} = -18tYZ - 6XY^2 + 18X^2Z.$$

Thus $\alpha = 0$. Again, since

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial Y} = -2t, \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial Y} = -3tX, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial Y} = 16tY - 18tXZ - 6X^2Y,$$

similarly we have $\beta = \lambda t$, for some $\lambda \in R$. Let U be a coordinate in B such that $D^2U = 0$. Since U is a coordinate, there exist $a, b, c \in R$, not all 0 and $V \in B$ with $deg\ V \geqslant 2$ and no linear term, such that $U = aX + bY + cZ + V$. Then $DU = at + bX + cY + (\frac{\partial V}{\partial X})t + (\frac{\partial V}{\partial Y})X + (\frac{\partial V}{\partial Z})Y$. Let $\gamma :=$ coefficient of X in $\frac{\partial V}{\partial X}$ and $\delta :=$ coefficient of Y in $\frac{\partial V}{\partial X}$. Then coefficient of X in $DU = b + \gamma t$ and coefficient of Y in $DU = c + \delta t$ (We can ignore terms from $(\frac{\partial V}{\partial Y})X$ and $(\frac{\partial V}{\partial Z})Y$ since neither of them has any linear term). Thus $b + \gamma t = 0$ and $c + \beta t = \lambda t$ for some $\lambda \in R$. Therefore, $b \in (t)R$ and $c \in (t)R$.

Let (U_1, U_2, U_3) be a coordinate system of B such that $D^2(U_1) = D^2(U_2) = 0$ and let $U_i = a_iX + b_iY + c_iZ + V_i$ where $a_i, b_i, c_i \in R$, not all 0 and $V_i \in B$ with $deg\ V_i \geqslant 2$ and no linear term, for $i = 1, 2$. Thus for each i, we have $b_i, c_i \in (t)R$. For $f \in B$, let $\overline{f}$ denote its image in $B/tB(= k^{[3]})$. Since $B/tB = k[\overline{U_1}, \overline{U_2}, \overline{W}]$, $\overline{U_1}, \overline{U_2}$ form a partial coordinate system in B/tB. But $\overline{U_i} = \overline{a_i}\overline{X} + \overline{V_i}$ and since $\overline{V_i}$ has no linear term, $\overline{a_i} \neq 0$, for each i. Then $\overline{a_2}\overline{U_1} - \overline{a_1}\overline{U_2}$ has no linear term, but it is a coordinate in B/tB. Hence we have a contradiction. □

Acknowledgement: The authors thank Professor Amartya K. Dutta for asking Questions 1 and 2, going through the earlier drafts and suggesting improvements.The second author also acknowledges Department of Science and Technology for their Swarnajayanti award.

References

[1] S.S. Abhyankar, P. Eakin and W. Heinzer, *On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring*, J. Algebra **23** (1972) 310–342.

[2] H. Bass, E.H. Connell, D.L. Wright, *Locally polynomial algebras are symmetric algebras*, Invent. Math. **38** (1977) 279-299.

[3] S.M. Bhatwadekar, D. Daigle, *On finite generation of kernels of locally nilpotent R-derivations of $R[X,Y,Z]$*, J. Algebra **322**(9) (2009) 2915-2926.

[4] S.M. Bhatwadekar, A.K. Dutta, *Kernel of locally nilpotent R-derivations of $R[X,Y]$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349**(8) (1997) 3303-3319.

[5] S.M. Bhatwadekar, N. Gupta, S.A. Lokhande, *Some K-theoritic properties of the kernel of a locally nilpotent derivation on $k[X_1, \ldots, X_4]$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), 341-363.

[6] D. Daigle, *Triangular Derivations of $k[X, Y, Z]$*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **214** (2010) 1173-1180.

[7] D. Daigle, G. Freudenburg, *Locally nilpotent derivatons over a UFD and an application to rank two locally nilpotent derivations of $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$*, J. Algebra **204** (1998) 353-371.

[8] D. Daigle, G. Freudenburg, *A note on triangular derivations of $k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc **129(3)** (2001) 657-662.

[9] P. Eakin, W. Heinzer, *A cancellation problem for rings*, Conference on Commutative Algebra (Univ. Kansas, Lawrence, Kan., 1972), eds. J.W. Brewer and E.A. Rutter, Lecture notes in Math., Vol. 311, Springer, Berlin (1973) 61-77.

[10] G. Freudenburg, *Algebraic Theory of Locally Nilpotent Derivations*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2006).

[11] E. Hamann, *On the R-Invariance of $R[X]$*, J. Algebra **35** (1975) 1-16.

[12] F. Ischebeck, R. Rao, *Ideals and Reality*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2005).

[13] A.A. Suslin, *Locally polynomial rings and symmetric algebras (Russian)*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **41**(3) (1977) 503-515.

[14] Z. Wang, *Homogenization of locally nilpotent derivations and an application to $k[X, Y, Z]$*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **196** (2005) 323-337.